

ON CLUSTER SYSTEMS OF TENSOR PRODUCT SYSTEMS OF HILBERT SPACES

MITHUN MUKHERJEE

ABSTRACT. It is known that the spatial product of two product systems is intrinsic. Here we extend this result by analysing subsystems of the tensor product of product systems. A relation with cluster systems in the sense of [4] is established. In a special case, we show that the amalgamated product of product systems through strictly contractive units is independent of the choices of the units. The amalgamated product in this case is isomorphic to the tensor product of the spatial product of the two and the type I product system of index one.

1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

By a product system, we mean a measurable family of Hilbert spaces $(\mathcal{E}_t)_{t>0}$ with associative identification $\mathcal{E}_s \otimes \mathcal{E}_t = \mathcal{E}_{s+t}$. Arveson [1] associated with every E_0 -semigroup, a product system of Hilbert spaces. He showed that E_0 -semigroups are classified by their product systems up to cocycle conjugacy. Product systems are classified as spatial and non-spatial depending on whether or not there is a unit in the product system, where a unit is a measurable family of sections $(u_s)_{s>0}$, such that $u_s \in \mathcal{E}_s, s > 0$ and $u_{s+t} = u_s \otimes u_t, s, t > 0$ under the identification. The spatial product system has an index and the index is additive with respect to the tensor product of product systems. Much of the theory has a counterpart in the theory of product system of Hilbert modules ([9], [6]). Though there is no natural tensor product in the category of product systems of Hilbert modules. To overcome this, Skeide ([13]) introduced the notion of spatial product in the category of spatial product systems of Hilbert modules for which the index is additive with respect to the spatial product.

For two product systems $\mathcal{E} = (\mathcal{E}_t)_{t>0}$ and $\mathcal{F} = (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t>0}$ with reference units $u = (u_t)_{t>0}$ and $v = (v_t)_{t>0}$ respectively, their spatial product can be identified with the subsystem of the tensor product generated by subsystems $(u_t \otimes \mathcal{F}_t)_{t>0}$ and $(\mathcal{E}_t \otimes v_t)_{t>0}$. This raises another question, namely, whether the spatial product is the tensor product or not. This has been answered in the negative sense by Powers [11]. This is exactly the same description of the product systems arising from the Powers sum of two E_0 -semigroups. See [12], [2].

The spatial structure of a spatial product system depends on the reference unit. Indeed, Tsirelson ([14]) showed that not all spatial product systems are transitive. i.e. there are spatial product systems in with two normalized units and without

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 46L55; Secondary 46C05.

Key words and phrases. Product Systems, Completely Positive Semigroups, E_0 -Semigroups, Amalgamated Product.

any automorphism of the product system sending one unit to another. This immediately raises the question whether different choice of reference units yields isomorphic product systems or not. In [3], it was shown in the affirmative sense. See also [2], [7]. In other words, the spatial product of two spatial product systems is independent of the choice of the reference units.

In [5], the amalgamated product of two product systems through contractive morphism is introduced. In a special case, when the contractive morphism is implemented by normalized units in respective spatial product systems, it is nothing but the spatial product of product systems of Hilbert spaces. The notion of amalgamation was motivated by the observation that the entire operation of obtaining a Powers sum can be obtained by a more general 'corner', in particular contractive (not necessarily isometric) intertwining semigroups.

In this paper, we show the following : given two product systems $\mathcal{E}$ and $\mathcal{F}$ and their subsystems $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{N}$ respectively, the subsystem generated by $\mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{N}$ and $\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{F}$ is same as the subsystem generated by $\mathcal{E} \otimes \check{\mathcal{N}}$ and $\check{\mathcal{M}} \otimes \mathcal{F}$ into $\mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{F}$. Here $\check{\mathcal{M}}$ and $\check{\mathcal{N}}$ are respectively the cluster systems of $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{N}$ in the sense of [4]. As a special case, we have the result of [3] namely spatial products of product systems are intrinsic. We also show that the amalgamated product of product systems through strictly contractive units is independent of the choices of the units and moreover the amalgamated product in this case is isomorphic to the tensor product of the spatial product of the two and the type I product system of index one.

Remark 1.1. It should be noted that some of these results also follow from the theory of random sets. See Proposition 5.3, [7] for more details. See also Proposition 3.33, [8] and the identification with the cluster construction given in Theorem 2.7, [4]. But here we give a plain Hilbert space proof of this result.

2. PRODUCT SYSTEMS AND AMALGAMATED PRODUCT

Let us start with some definitions.

Definition 2.1. A continuous tensor product system of Hilbert spaces (briefly: product system) is a measurable family $\mathcal{E} = (\mathcal{E}_t)_{t>0}$ of separable Hilbert spaces endowed with a measurable family of unitaries $V_{s,t} : \mathcal{E}_s \otimes \mathcal{E}_t \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_{s+t}$ for all $s, t > 0$, which fulfils for all $r, s, t > 0$

$$V_{r,s+t} \circ (1_{\mathcal{E}_r} \otimes V_{s,t}) = V_{r+s,t} \circ (V_{r,s} \otimes 1_{\mathcal{E}_t}).$$

Definition 2.2. A unit u of a product system is a measurable non-zero section $(u_t)_{t>0}$ through $(\mathcal{E}_t)_{t>0}$ which satisfies for all $s, t > 0$

$$V_{s,t}(u_s \otimes u_t) = u_{s+t}.$$

A unit is said to be normalized if $\|u_t\| = 1$ for all $t > 0$.

Definition 2.3. Suppose $\mathcal{E}$ and $\mathcal{F}$ are product systems with associated unitaries $(V_{s,t})_{s,t>0}$ and $(W_{s,t})_{s,t>0}$ respectively. We say that $C = (C_t)_{t>0}$ is a contractive morphism from $\mathcal{F}$ to $\mathcal{E}$ if $(C_t)_{t>0}$ is a measurable family of contractions $C_t : \mathcal{F}_t \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_t$ with

$$C_{s+t} \circ W_{s,t} = V_{s,t} \circ (C_s \otimes C_t), \quad (s, t > 0).$$

A contractive morphism is said to be an isomorphism if C_t is a unitary for all $t > 0$.

Definition 2.4. A product system $\mathcal{G}$ with associated unitaries $U_{s,t}$ is said to be a product subsystem of $\mathcal{E}$ if $\mathcal{F}_t \subset \mathcal{E}_t$ for all $t > 0$ and $U_{s,t} = V_{s,t}|_{G_s \otimes G_t}$ for all $s, t > 0$.

Remark 2.5. We do not make the definition of measurability more explicit throughout this paper. For a thorough discussion, see Section 7, [8]. In this context, we call by an **algebraic** product system is an object exactly like a product system but without having any measurable structure.

Suppose $\mathcal{E}$ and $\mathcal{F}$ are two product systems and $C = (C_t)_{t>0} : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ is a contractive morphism. Their amalgamated product $\mathcal{E} \otimes_C \mathcal{F}$ is introduced in [5] and can be seen equivalent to the following description (Theorem 2.7, [10]) : $\mathcal{E} \otimes_C \mathcal{F}$ is the unique algebraic product system with isometric morphisms of product systems $I : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \otimes_C \mathcal{F}$ and $J : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \otimes_C \mathcal{F}$ such that

$$\mathcal{E} \otimes_C \mathcal{F} \simeq I(\mathcal{E}) \bigvee J(\mathcal{F})$$

and for $x \in \mathcal{E}_t$ and $y \in \mathcal{F}_t$,

$$\langle I_t(x), J_t(y) \rangle = \langle x, C_t y \rangle,$$

where for product subsystems $\mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{F}'$ of a product system $\mathcal{E}$, let us denote by $\mathcal{F} \bigvee \mathcal{F}'$, the product system generated by $\mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{F}'$.

Suppose $\mathcal{E}$ and $\mathcal{F}$ are two spatial product systems with normalized units u and v respectively. Define the contractive morphism $C = (C_t)_{t>0} : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ by

$$C_t = e^{-\lambda t} |u_t\rangle \langle v_t|, \quad \lambda \geq 0.$$

Let us denote by $\mathcal{E} \otimes_{(u,v,\lambda)} \mathcal{F}$, the corresponding amalgamated product. For $\lambda = 0$, we simply denote it by $\mathcal{E} \otimes_{u,v} \mathcal{F}$. We observe that $\mathcal{E} \otimes_{u,v} \mathcal{F}$ is the spatial product of $\mathcal{E}$ and $\mathcal{F}$ with respect to the reference units u and v respectively. Let Γ be the type I product system of index 1. Choose and fix normalized units Ω and Ω' of Γ such that $\langle \Omega_t, \Omega'_t \rangle = e^{-\lambda t}$. These can be chosen easily as Γ is isomorphic to the Fock product system $(\Gamma_{\text{sym}}(L^2[0, t]))_{t>0}$ and for the later, choose Ω to be the vacuum unit and $\Omega'_t = e^{-\lambda t} \exp(\sqrt{2\lambda} \chi_{[0,t]})$, for $t > 0$. Note that $\lambda = 0$ if and only if $\Omega = \Omega'$. For any spatial product system $\mathcal{E}$, we denote by $\mathcal{E}^I$, the type I part of the product system, i.e. the smallest product subsystem of $\mathcal{E}$ containing all the units of $\mathcal{E}$.

Proposition 2.6. *Suppose $\mathcal{E}$ and $\mathcal{F}$ are two spatial product systems. Let u and v be two normalized units of $\mathcal{E}$ and $\mathcal{F}$ respectively. Then $\mathcal{E} \otimes_{(u,v,\lambda)} \mathcal{F}$ is isomorphic to the product system generated by $\mathcal{E} \otimes \Omega \otimes v$ and $u \otimes \Omega' \otimes \mathcal{F}$ inside $\mathcal{E} \otimes \Gamma \otimes \mathcal{F}$, i.e.*

$$\mathcal{E} \otimes_{(u,v,\lambda)} \mathcal{F} \simeq (\mathcal{E} \otimes \Omega \otimes v) \bigvee (u \otimes \Omega' \otimes \mathcal{F}).$$

Proof. Define for each $t > 0$, $I_t : \mathcal{E}_t \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_t \otimes \Gamma_t \otimes \mathcal{F}_t$ and $J_t : \mathcal{F}_t \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_t \otimes \Gamma_t \otimes \mathcal{F}_t$ by, for $x \in \mathcal{E}_t$, $I_t(x) = x \otimes \Omega_t \otimes v_t$, for $y \in \mathcal{F}_t$, $J_t(y) = u_t \otimes \Omega'_t \otimes y$. Then it is easy to see that $I = (I_t)_{t>0}$ and $J = (J_t)_{t>0}$ are isometric morphisms of product systems

satisfying $\langle I_t(x), J_t(y) \rangle = \langle x, e^{-\lambda t} |u_t\rangle \langle v_t | y \rangle$. Consequently from Theorem 2.7, [10], we get $\mathcal{E} \otimes_{(u,v,\lambda)} \mathcal{F} \simeq I(\mathcal{E}) \vee J(\mathcal{F})$, as algebraic product systems. Now transferring the measurable structure of $(\mathcal{E} \otimes \Omega \otimes v) \vee (u \otimes \Omega' \otimes \mathcal{F})$ onto $\mathcal{E} \otimes_{(u,v,\lambda)} \mathcal{F}$ via the isomorphism, we can make $\mathcal{E} \otimes_{(u,v,\lambda)} \mathcal{F}$ into a product system and the isomorphism becomes the isomorphism of product systems. $\square$

3. ROOTS AND CLUSTER SYSTEMS

We denote the multiplication operation of the product system by $\circ$ i.e. $a \in \mathcal{E}_s$, $b \in \mathcal{E}_t$, we have $a \circ b \in \mathcal{E}_{s+t}$. This notation is to differentiate the multiplication operation of the product system from the tensor product operation on the category of product systems. The following definition is adopted from [4].

Definition 3.1. Let $\mathcal{E}$ be a spatial product system and let u be a unit of this product system. A measurable section $(a_t)_{t>0}$ of $\mathcal{E}$ is said to be a root of u if

$$a_{s+t} = a_s \circ u_t + u_s \circ a_t, \quad \langle a_t, u_t \rangle = 0, \quad \forall s, t > 0.$$

Note that for $t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n > 0$ with $\sum_{i=1}^n t_i = t$, the following identity holds : $a_t = \sum_{i=1}^n y^i$, where $y^i = u_{t_1} \circ u_{t_2} \circ \dots \circ u_{t_{i-1}} \circ a_{t_i} \circ u_{t_{i+1}} \circ \dots \circ u_{t_n}$. Also note that y^i and y^j are orthogonal for $i \neq j$. Hence $\|a_t\|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n \|y^i\|^2$. Considering the symmetric Fock product system $\Gamma_{sym}(L^2[0, t], K)$, it is shown in Proposition 12, [4] that the roots of the vacuum unit are given by $c\chi_{[0, t]}$, $c \in K$. Note that the vacuum and $c\chi_{[0, t]}$, $c \in K$ generates the Fock product system and as a consequence we have the following result.

Proposition 3.2 (Corollary 15, [4]). *Suppose $\mathcal{E}$ is a spatial product system and u is a unit. The product system generated by the unit u and all roots of u is $\mathcal{E}^I$.*

Now we recall the notion of cluster system of a product system introduced in [4]. Suppose $(\mathcal{E}, B)$ is a product system and $(\mathcal{F}, B|_F)$ is a product subsystem. Define $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_t$ by

$$\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_t = \overline{\text{span}}\{x \circ y : x \in \mathcal{E}_r \ominus \mathcal{F}_r, y \in \mathcal{E}_{t-r} \ominus \mathcal{F}_{t-r}, \text{ for some } r, 0 < r < t\}.$$

Set $\mathcal{F}'_t = \mathcal{E}_t \ominus \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_t$. Then $\mathcal{F}'_{s+t} \subset \mathcal{F}'_s \otimes \mathcal{F}'_t$ for all $s, t > 0$ ([4]). Denote by $\check{\mathcal{F}}$, the product subsystem generated by $\mathcal{F}'$. We call $\check{\mathcal{F}}$ the cluster of $\mathcal{F}$. See [4]. The name ‘cluster’ comes from its connection to random sets ([8]) which we now describe briefly. Suppose $\mathcal{G}$ is a product subsystem of a product system $\mathcal{E}$. Then for every interval $[s, t]$, $0 < s < t < 1$, we may identify, $\mathcal{E}_1 \simeq \mathcal{E}_s \circ \mathcal{E}_{t-s} \circ \mathcal{E}_{1-t}$. Let $P_{s,t}^{\mathcal{G}}$, $0 \leq s \leq t \leq 1$, be the family of commuting projections in $B(\mathcal{E}_1)$ defined by

$$P_{s,t}^{\mathcal{G}} = P_{\mathcal{E}_s \circ \mathcal{G}_{t-s} \circ \mathcal{E}_{1-t}} = 1_{\mathcal{E}_s} \circ P_{\mathcal{G}_{t-s}} \circ 1_{\mathcal{E}_{1-t}},$$

where P_K denotes the projection onto the subspace K . Note that this operation is the usual tensor product of operators if the multiplication of the product system is viewed as the tensor product. From Proposition 3.18, [8], we know that $(s, t) \rightarrow P_{s,t}^{\mathcal{G}}$ is jointly SOT continuous and the following holds : for every $x \in \mathcal{E}_1$, $\|P_{s,s+\epsilon}^{\mathcal{G}} x - x\| \rightarrow 0$ and $\|P_{t-\epsilon,t}^{\mathcal{G}} x - x\| \rightarrow 0$ as $\epsilon \downarrow 0$. So in the compact simplex $\{0 \leq s \leq t \leq 1\}$,

it is uniformly continuous. i.e. for every $x \in \mathcal{E}_1$, $\|P_{s,t}^{\mathcal{G}}x - x\| \rightarrow 0$ as $(t - s) \rightarrow 0$. For $n \geq 1$, we have

$$P_{\frac{(i-1)}{n}, \frac{i}{n}}^{\mathcal{G}} = 1_{\mathcal{E}_{\frac{1}{n}}} \circ \cdots \circ 1_{\mathcal{E}_{\frac{1}{n}}} \circ P_{\mathcal{G}_{\frac{1}{n}}} \circ 1_{\mathcal{E}_{\frac{1}{n}}} \circ \cdots \circ 1_{\mathcal{E}_{\frac{1}{n}}},$$

where $P_{\mathcal{G}_{\frac{1}{n}}}$ is on the i -th place.

Theorem 3.16, [8] shows that any product subsystem $\mathcal{G}$ corresponds to a unique measure type $[\mu_{\eta}]$ (η is a faithful state on $B(\mathcal{E}_1)$) on the closed subsets of $[0, 1]$ such that the prescription

$$\chi_{\{Z: Z \cap [s,t] = \emptyset\}} \rightarrow P_{s,t}^{\mathcal{G}}, \quad ((s, t) \in [0, 1])$$

extends to an injective normal representation $J_{\eta}^{\mathcal{G}}$ of $L^{\infty}(\mu_{\eta}^{\mathcal{G}})$ on $\mathcal{E}_1$. The mapping ‘cluster’ which sends a closed set to its limit points is a measurable map on this space. More precisely, for any $Z \subset [0, 1]$, denote $\check{Z}$ the set of its cluster points:

$$\check{Z} = \{t \in Z : t \in \overline{Z \setminus \{t\}}\}.$$

Then from Theorem 27, [4], we have

$$J_{\eta}^{\mathcal{G}}(\chi_{\{Z: \check{Z} \cap [s,t] = \emptyset\}}) = P_{s,t}^{\check{\mathcal{G}}}, \quad ((s, t) \in [0, 1]).$$

4. SUBSYSTEMS OF TENSOR PRODUCT AND THEIR RELATION TO CLUSTER SYSTEMS

Our aim is to prove the following theorem.

Theorem 4.1. *Suppose $\mathcal{E}$ and $\mathcal{F}$ are two product systems and also suppose $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{N}$ are product subsystems of $\mathcal{E}$ and $\mathcal{F}$ respectively. Then inside $\mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{F}$,*

$$\mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{N} \bigvee \mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{F} = \mathcal{E} \otimes \check{\mathcal{N}} \bigvee \check{\mathcal{M}} \otimes \mathcal{F}.$$

The proof we postpone to the very end, after having illustrated the immediate consequences.

Let us define inductively $\mathcal{M}^{n+1} = \check{\mathcal{M}}^n$, where $\mathcal{M}^1 = \check{\mathcal{M}}$. Denote by $\mathcal{M}^{\infty} = \bigvee_n \mathcal{M}^n$. Similarly for the subsystem $\mathcal{N}$. Then we have the following corollary.

Corollary 4.2. *If $\mathcal{M}^{\infty} = \mathcal{E}$ or $\mathcal{N}^{\infty} = \mathcal{F}$, then*

$$\mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{N} \bigvee \mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{F} = \mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{F}.$$

The case corresponding to $\lambda = 0$ of the following corollary is the main result in [3].

Corollary 4.3. *Suppose $\mathcal{E}$ and $\mathcal{F}$ are two spatial product systems with normalized units u and v respectively. Suppose $\lambda \geq 0$. Then*

$$\mathcal{E} \otimes_{(u,v,\lambda)} \mathcal{F} \simeq \begin{cases} (\mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{F}^I) \bigvee (\mathcal{E}^I \otimes \mathcal{F}) & \text{if } \lambda = 0, \\ (\mathcal{E} \otimes \Gamma \otimes \mathcal{F}^I) \bigvee (\mathcal{E}^I \otimes \Gamma \otimes \mathcal{F}) & \text{if } \lambda > 0 \end{cases}$$

Proof. Let Γ be the type I product system of index one. Choose units Ω and Ω' of Γ such that for all $t > 0$, $\langle \Omega_t, \Omega'_t \rangle = e^{-\lambda t}$.

Case 1 : $\lambda = 0$. We get $\Omega = \Omega'$, which implies $\mathcal{E} \otimes_{(u,v)} \mathcal{F} \simeq (\mathcal{E} \otimes v) \bigvee (u \otimes \mathcal{F})$. So it is enough to show $\mathcal{E}^I \subset \check{u}$. For any root a of u , it is easy to see that $a \in \check{u}$. Now the result follows from Proposition 3.2.

Case 2 : $\lambda > 0$. We get $\Omega \vee \Omega = \Gamma$ as both sides have index one. From Proposition 2.6, we get $\mathcal{E} \otimes_{(u,v,\lambda)} \mathcal{F} \simeq (\mathcal{E} \otimes \Omega \otimes v) \vee (u \otimes \Omega' \otimes \mathcal{F})$. First note that

$$u \otimes \Gamma \otimes v \subset (\mathcal{E} \otimes \Omega \otimes v) \vee (u \otimes \Omega' \otimes \mathcal{F}).$$

Now from case 1 and the fact that $\check{\Omega} = \Gamma$ (as Γ is of type I), we have

$$(u \otimes \Gamma \otimes v) \vee (\mathcal{E} \otimes \Omega \otimes v) = [(u \otimes \Gamma) \vee (\mathcal{E} \otimes \Omega)] \otimes v = \mathcal{E} \otimes \Gamma \otimes v.$$

It follows that

$$\mathcal{E} \otimes \Gamma \otimes v \subset (\mathcal{E} \otimes \Omega \otimes v) \vee (u \otimes \Omega' \otimes \mathcal{F}).$$

Now again applying the result of case 1 for two product systems $\mathcal{E} \otimes \Gamma$ and $\mathcal{F}$ with respective units $u \otimes \Omega$ and v , we get

$$\begin{aligned} ((\mathcal{E} \otimes \Gamma) \otimes v) \vee ((u \otimes \Omega) \otimes \mathcal{F}) &= ((\mathcal{E} \otimes \Gamma) \otimes \mathcal{F}^I) \vee ((\mathcal{E} \otimes \Gamma)^I \otimes \mathcal{F}) \\ &= (\mathcal{E} \otimes \Gamma \otimes \mathcal{F}^I) \vee (\mathcal{E}^I \otimes \Gamma \otimes \mathcal{F}) \\ &\simeq (\mathcal{E} \otimes_{(u,v)} \mathcal{F}) \otimes \Gamma. \end{aligned}$$

□

The key of the proof of our main theorem is the following lemma.

Lemma 4.4. *Suppose $(\mathcal{E}, W)$ is a product system and $\mathcal{F}$ is a product subsystem of $(\mathcal{E}, W)$. Set $\mathcal{X}_t = \mathcal{F}'_t \ominus \mathcal{F}_t$, $t > 0$. Then*

$$\mathcal{F}_s \circ \mathcal{X}_t \oplus \mathcal{X}_s \circ \mathcal{F}_t = \mathcal{X}_{s+t}.$$

Proof. Suppose $x \in \mathcal{X}_t$. consider the set

$$A := \{(z_1 \circ z_2) : z_1 \in \mathcal{E}_r \ominus \mathcal{F}_r, z_2 \in \mathcal{E}_{s+t-r} \ominus \mathcal{F}_{s+t-r}, \text{ for some } r, 0 < r < s+t\}.$$

Then we claim that $\overline{\text{span}} A = \overline{\text{span}} (A_1 \cup A_2 \cup A_3)$, where

$$\begin{aligned} A_1 &= \{(y_1 \circ y_2 \circ y_3) : y_1 \in \mathcal{E}_r \ominus \mathcal{F}_r, y_2 \in \mathcal{E}_{s-r}, y_3 \in \mathcal{E}_t, \\ &\quad y_2 \circ y_3 \in \mathcal{E}_{s+t-r} \ominus \mathcal{F}_{s+t-r}, \text{ for some } 0 < r < s\}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} A_2 &= \{(y_1 \circ y_2 \circ y_3) : y_1 \in \mathcal{E}_s, y_2 \in \mathcal{E}_{r-s}, y_1 \circ y_2 \in \mathcal{E}_r \ominus \mathcal{F}_r, \\ &\quad y_3 \in \mathcal{E}_{s+t-r} \ominus \mathcal{F}_{s+t-r}, \text{ for some } s < r < s+t\} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$A_3 = \{z_1 \circ z_2 : z_1 \in \mathcal{E}_s \ominus \mathcal{F}_s, z_2 \in \mathcal{E}_t \ominus \mathcal{F}_t\}.$$

Suppose $y_1 \circ y_2 \circ y_3 \in A_1$. This implies $y_1 \in \mathcal{E}_r \ominus \mathcal{F}_r$ and $y_2 \circ y_3 \in \mathcal{E}_{s+t-r} \ominus \mathcal{F}_{s+t-r}$. This shows $y_1 \circ y_2 \circ y_3 \in A$. We obtain $A_1 \subset A$. Similarly, $A_2, A_3 \subset A$. We obtain, $\overline{\text{span}} A \supset \overline{\text{span}} (A_1 \cup A_2 \cup A_3)$. For the converse, let $z_1 \circ z_2 \in A$, with $z_1 \in \mathcal{E}_r \ominus \mathcal{F}_r, z_2 \in \mathcal{E}_{s+t-r} \ominus \mathcal{F}_{s+t-r}$ for some $0 < r < s$. This implies $z_2 \in \overline{\text{span}}\{x_1 \circ x_2 : x_1 \in \mathcal{E}_{s-r}, x_2 \in \mathcal{E}_t, x_1 \circ x_2 \in \mathcal{E}_{s+t-r} \ominus \mathcal{F}_{s+t-r}\}$. Clearly $z_1 \circ x_1 \circ x_2 \in A_1$. We get $z_1 \circ z_2 \in \overline{\text{span}} A_1$. Similarly, for $z_1 \circ z_2 \in A$ with $z_1 \in \mathcal{E}_r \ominus \mathcal{F}_r, z_2 \in \mathcal{E}_{s+t-r} \ominus \mathcal{F}_{s+t-r}$ for some $s < r < s+t$, we have $z_1 \circ z_2 \in \overline{\text{span}} A_2$. Therefore $\overline{\text{span}} A \subset \overline{\text{span}} (A_1 \cup A_2 \cup A_3)$. This proves the claim. Now let $y_1 \circ y_2 \circ y_3 \in A_1$ be an arbitrary vector. Then there is some $r_0, 0 < r_0 < s$, such that $y_1 \in \mathcal{E}_{r_0} \ominus \mathcal{F}_{r_0}, y_2 \in \mathcal{E}_{s-r_0}, y_3 \in \mathcal{E}_t, y_2 \circ y_3 \in \mathcal{E}_{s+t-r_0} \ominus \mathcal{F}_{s+t-r_0}$. Any vector

$f_s \in \mathcal{F}_s$ is in the closed linear span of the vectors of the form $g_{r_0}^i \circ h_{s-r_0}^i$, where $g_{r_0}^i \in \mathcal{F}_{r_0}$, $h_{s-r_0}^i \in \mathcal{F}_{s-r_0}$.

$$\begin{aligned} \langle g_{r_0}^i \circ h_{s-r_0}^i \circ x, y_1 \circ y_2 \circ y_3 \rangle &= \sum_i \langle g_{r_0}^i, y_1 \rangle \langle h_{s-r_0}^i, y_2 \rangle \langle x, y_3 \rangle \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that $f_s \circ x \in A_1^\perp$. Now let $y_1 \circ y_2 \circ y_3 \in A_2$ be arbitrary. Then there is some r_1 , $s < r_1 < s+t$, such that $y_1 \in \mathcal{E}_s, y_2 \in \mathcal{E}_{r_1-s}, y_1 \circ y_2 \in \mathcal{E}_{r_1} \ominus \mathcal{F}_{r_1}, y_3 \in \mathcal{E}_{s+t-r_1} \ominus \mathcal{F}_{s+t-r_1}$. Now if $y_1 \in \mathcal{E}_s \ominus \mathcal{F}_s$, then for $f_s \in \mathcal{F}_s$, the inner product $\langle f_s \circ x, y_1 \circ y_2 \circ y_3 \rangle = 0$ and if $\langle f_s, y_1 \rangle \neq 0$, then $y_2 \in \mathcal{E}_{r_1-s} \ominus \mathcal{F}_{r_1-s}$ and $y_3 \in \mathcal{E}_{s+t-r_1} \ominus \mathcal{F}_{s+t-r_1}$. This is equivalent to $y_2 \circ y_3 \in \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_t$. As $x \in \mathcal{X}_t \subset \mathcal{F}'_t$, the inner product $\langle f_s \circ x, y_1 \circ y_2 \circ y_3 \rangle = 0$. This shows $f_s \circ x \in A_2^\perp$. For $z_1 \otimes z_2 \in A_3$, it is easily seen that $\langle f_s \circ x, z_1 \otimes z_2 \rangle = 0$. Thus for arbitrary vector $z \in \overline{\text{span}} A$, we have $\langle f_s \circ x, z \rangle = 0$. Hence $f_s \circ x \in \mathcal{F}'_{s+t}$. It is trivial to see that $f_s \circ x \in \mathcal{E}_{s+t} \ominus \mathcal{F}_{s+t}$. We get $\mathcal{F}_s \circ \mathcal{X}_t \subset \mathcal{X}_{s+t}$. Similarly $\mathcal{X}_s \circ \mathcal{F}_t \subset \mathcal{X}_{s+t}$.

On the other hand, we claim that

$$\mathcal{X}_{s+t} \supset \mathcal{F}_s \circ \mathcal{X}_t \oplus \mathcal{X}_s \circ \mathcal{F}_t.$$

Indeed, we observe that, the inclusion $\mathcal{F}'_{s+t} \subset \mathcal{F}'_s \circ \mathcal{F}'_t$ implies

$$\mathcal{X}_{s+t} \subset \mathcal{X}_s \circ \mathcal{F}_t \oplus \mathcal{F}_s \circ \mathcal{X}_t \oplus \mathcal{X}_s \circ \mathcal{X}_t.$$

So it is enough to show that $\mathcal{X}_{s+t} \subset \mathcal{E}_{s+t} \ominus (\mathcal{X}_s \circ \mathcal{X}_t)$. But this follows from the fact that $\mathcal{X}_s \circ \mathcal{X}_t \subset \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{s+t}$. □

Proof of Theorem 4.1 : It is enough to prove $\mathcal{E} \otimes \tilde{\mathcal{N}} \subset \mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{N} \vee \mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{F}$. By symmetry, the result follows. Fix the time point $t = 1$. It is enough to show that for $z \in \mathcal{E}_1$, and for $\eta \in \mathcal{Y}_1 := \mathcal{N}'_1 \ominus \mathcal{N}_1$, $z \otimes \eta \in ((\mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{N}) \vee (\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{F}))_1$. For other time point, proof goes identically. Let $\epsilon > 0$ be given. From uniform continuity of $P_{s,t}^{\mathcal{M}}$, choose N such that $n \geq N$, $\|z - P_{\frac{i-1}{n}, \frac{i}{n}}^{\mathcal{M}} z\| \leq \frac{\epsilon}{\|\eta\|}$, for every $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. From Lemma 4.4, the following decomposition holds : $\mathcal{Y}_1 = \oplus_{i=1}^n \mathcal{Z}_i$, where $\mathcal{Z}_i = \mathcal{N}_{\frac{1}{n}} \circ \mathcal{N}_{\frac{1}{n}} \circ \dots \circ \mathcal{Y}_{\frac{1}{n}} \circ \dots \circ \mathcal{N}_{\frac{1}{n}}$ with $\mathcal{Y}_{\frac{1}{n}}$ is on the i -th place. Let $\eta = \oplus_i \eta_i$ be the corresponding (orthogonal) decomposition. Note that η_i is in the closed linear span of elementary tensors of the form $P = p^1 \circ p^2 \circ \dots \circ q \circ \dots \circ p^n$ with $p^j \in \mathcal{N}_{\frac{1}{n}}$ for $j \neq i$ and $q \in \mathcal{Y}_{\frac{1}{n}}$. Also $P_{\frac{i-1}{n}, \frac{i}{n}}^{\mathcal{M}} z$ is in the closed linear span of elementary tensors of the form $W = w^1 \circ w^2 \circ \dots \circ v \circ \dots \circ w^n$ with $w^j \in \mathcal{E}_{\frac{1}{n}}$ for $j \neq i$ and $v \in \mathcal{M}_{\frac{1}{n}}$. Now note that

$$\begin{aligned} W \otimes P &= (w^1 \circ \dots \circ v \circ \dots \circ w^n) \otimes (p^1 \circ \dots \circ q \circ \dots \circ p^n) \\ &= (w^1 \otimes p^1) \circ \dots \circ (v \otimes q) \circ \dots \circ (w^n \otimes p^n) \\ &\in ((\mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{N}) \vee (\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{F}))_1. \end{aligned}$$

This gives us $P_{\frac{i-1}{n}, \frac{i}{n}}^{\mathcal{M}} z \otimes \eta_i \in ((\mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{N}) \vee (\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{F}))_1$. Now

$$\begin{aligned} \|z \otimes \eta - \sum_{i=1}^n P_{\frac{i-1}{n}, \frac{i}{n}}^{\mathcal{M}} z \otimes \eta_i\|^2 &= \sum_{i=1}^n \|(z - P_{\frac{i-1}{n}, \frac{i}{n}}^{\mathcal{M}} z) \otimes \eta_i\|^2 \\ &< \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\epsilon^2 \|\eta_i\|^2}{\|\eta\|^2} \\ &< \epsilon^2. \end{aligned}$$

The result follows as the subspace is closed. $\square$

Acknowledgement. I thank Professor B.V. Rajarama Bhat for several useful discussions on the subject. I also thank DST-Inspire (IFA-13 MA-20) for financial support.

REFERENCES

1. William Arveson, *Continuous analogues of Fock space*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **80** (1989), no. 409, iv+66.
2. B. V. Rajarama Bhat, V. Liebscher, and M. Skeide, *A problem of powers and the product of spatial product systems*, Quantum probability and related topics, QP-PQ: Quantum Probab. White Noise Anal., vol. 23, World Sci. Publ., Hackensack, NJ, 2008, pp. 93–106.
3. B. V. Rajarama Bhat, Volkmar Liebscher, Mithun Mukherjee, and Michael Skeide, *The spatial product of Arveson systems is intrinsic*, J. Funct. Anal. **260** (2011), no. 2, 566–573.
4. B. V. Rajarama Bhat, M. Lindsay, and M. Mukherjee, *Additive units of product system*, arxiv:1501.07675v1.
5. B. V. Rajarama Bhat and Mithun Mukherjee, *Inclusion systems and amalgamated products of product systems*, Infin. Dimens. Anal. Quantum Probab. Relat. Top. **13** (2010), no. 1, 1–26.
6. B. V. Rajarama Bhat and Michael Skeide, *Tensor product systems of Hilbert modules and dilations of completely positive semigroups*, Infin. Dimens. Anal. Quantum Probab. Relat. Top. **3** (2000), no. 4, 519–575.
7. Volkmar Liebscher, *The relation of spatial and tensor product of arveson systems — the random set point of view*, arXiv:math/0612303v1.
8. ———, *Random sets and invariants for (type II) continuous tensor product systems of Hilbert spaces*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **199** (2009), no. 930, xiv+101.
9. Paul S. Muhly and Baruch Solel, *Quantum Markov processes (correspondences and dilations)*, Internat. J. Math. **13** (2002), no. 8, 863–906.
10. Mithun Mukherjee, *Index computation for amalgamated products of product systems*, Banach J. Math. Anal. **5** (2011), no. 1, 148–166.
11. Robert T. Powers, *Addition of spatial E_0 -semigroups*, Operator algebras, quantization, and noncommutative geometry, Contemp. Math., vol. 365, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2004, pp. 281–298.
12. ———, *Commutants of von Neumann modules, representations of $\mathcal{B}^a(E)$ and other topics related to product systems of Hilbert modules*, Advances in quantum dynamics (South Hadley, MA, 2002), Contemp. Math., vol. 335, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2003, pp. 253–262.
13. ———, *The index of (white) noises and their product systems*, Infin. Dimens. Anal. Quantum Probab. Relat. Top. **9** (2006), no. 4, 617–655.
14. Boris Tsirelson, *On automorphisms of type II Arveson systems (probabilistic approach)*, New York J. Math. **14** (2008), 539–576.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, IISER KOLKATA, MOHANPUR-741 252,
INDIA

E-mail address: mithun.mukherjee@iiserkol.ac.in